

## Corrigendum No. 1 (19.05.2026)

**Name of RFP** : Request for Proposal (RFP) for Empanelment of Project Implementation Agency (PIA) / Training Partner (TP) for Implementation of “Mahatma Jyotiba Phule Kaushalya Prashikshan Kendra” in the state of Maharashtra

**RFP Reference No** : MSSDS/ MJPKPK/2026/01

**RFP Published on** : 12/05/2026

Sr.No.	Clause	Query	Clarification / Amendment
1	Annexure 2 – Past Training & Placement Performance	Whether capacity building and training activities — even if not conducted under PMKUVA, PMKVY or DDU-GKY — are eligible to be cited under Annexure 2 for the purpose of evaluating training experience under Parameter 2 (Clause 9.3)	As per Annexure 2
2	Annexure 2 – Past Training & Placement Performance-	Whether placement percentage under Parameter 3 is calculated on total trained candidates or only on certified candidates.	As per RFP
3	Annexure 2 – Past Training & Placement Performance-	If total trained candidates are below 100, what is the minimum acceptable candidate sample for verification purposes	As per RFP
4	Annexure 3 – Placement Linkages / Industry Tie-Up-	Clarification on whether MoUs/Lols submitted by individual Training Centres with local employers are acceptable under Annexure 3, or whether all industry tie-ups must be directly in the TP's name	As per RFP.  Furthermore, if Industry tie-ups MoUs / Lols are done by Training centres, it can be considered provided Training Centres must be under the operational control of the PIA / Training Partner (TP). Also PIA / TP need to submit certificate for the same as per Annexure 19, attached

Sr.No.	Clause	Query	Clarification / Amendment
			below.
5	Annexure 3 – Placement Linkages / Industry Tie-Up-	Since Sector and Job Role for each TC is not yet finalised (as raised in Query 6), request clarification on whether placement linkages can be submitted in general sector terms rather than against specific job roles at the time of bid submission.	Placement linkages should be jobrole specific.
6	Annexure 3 – Placement Linkages / Industry Tie-Up-	Whether there is a minimum number of industry tie-ups required, or whether the evaluation is purely based on placement percentage coverage relative to the proposed training target.	Required industry tie-ups shall be preferably proportionate to proposed training target subject to minimum criteria as per scheme guidelines.
7	Annexure 4 – Awards / Recognition-	Whether recognition received from Central or State Government for work in the capacity of Knowledge Partner, advisory support, or institutional collaboration — even if not exclusively for skill training delivery — qualifies under Parameter 8.	As per RFP
8	Annexure 4 – Awards / Recognition-	Whether letters of appreciation, empanelment certificates, and project completion acknowledgements issued by Government departments constitute valid supporting documents under Annexure 4, or whether only formally constituted awards are accepted.	As per RFP
9	Annexure 4 – Awards / Recognition-	Does empanelment under GAD GR No. 1725/प्र.क्र.358/मातं dated 13.03.2026 (Category B – NGO) itself constitute State Government recognition for the purpose of this parameter?	No
10	Annexure 5 – Power Of Attorney-	The "Proposer" refers to the Head/Director of the applicant organisation who is authorising the representative – is this correct?	Yes
11	Annexure 5 – Power Of Attorney-	The "Designated Representative" is the person authorised to attend meetings, sign documents and negotiate on behalf of the organisation for this RFP, is this the Principal Promoter – is this correct?	Designated Representative can be any representative authorized by the organization as per Annexure 5
12	Annexure 7 – Profile Of	The RFP states minimum 2 qualified personnel per proposed Training Centre. We request clarification on whether the CVs to be submitted in	Minimum 2 qualified personnel per proposed Training Centre is mandatory. Provided

Sr.No.	Clause	Query	Clarification / Amendment
	Manpower	Annexure 7 are those of bidders own organisational staff, or the staff/trainers deployed at each individual Training Centre (i.e., the NGO's own personnel), or both.	training centres shall be under operational control of PIA / TP. Bidder need to submit certificate for the same along with list of training centre wise personnel. Amended Annexure 7 to be filled accordingly
13	Annexure 7 – Profile Of Manpower	Must all trainers proposed in Annexure 7 already hold valid ToT certification at the time of bid submission, or is it acceptable to propose trainers who will obtain ToT certification prior to commencement of training post-award?	It may be acceptable to propose trainers who will obtain ToT certification prior to commencement of training, post award of work order.
14	Annexure 7 – Profile Of Manpower	For non-teaching roles such as mobilisation, MIS and counselling staff, what qualifications are considered acceptable under the "qualified personnel" requirement of Parameter 5?	Graduate or other equivalent qualification related to profile of the job.
15	Annexure 8 & 10 – Area Type Certification	Clarification on whether the Sector and Job Role proposed in Annexure 10 must be backed by existing trainer ToT certifications at the time of bid submission, or whether it is permissible to propose job roles subject to trainer deployment post-award of work.	As mentioned in the above point no. 13.
16	Annexure 8 & 10 – Area Type Certification	Issuing authority for urban areas: For Training Centres proposed in Mumbai, Mumbai Suburban, Thane and Navi Mumbai, which competent authority is empowered to issue the certificate confirming the location falls within a notified Slum area as defined under the Maharashtra Slum Areas Act 1971 (Annexure 13)? Is it the District Collector, Municipal Corporation, or another body?	As per notification, order etc. issued by Government of Maharashtra or office authorized to do so, as the case maybe.
17	Annexure 8 & 10 – Area Type Certification	<b>Timeline for certification:</b> Given the bid submission deadline of 25th May 2026, we request clarification on whether the competent authority certificate for area classification must be submitted at the time of bid submission or whether it can be submitted post-award as a condition of work order execution.	Bidder need to submit self-declaration (Annexure 21) with requisite documents.
18	Annexure 8 & 10 – Area Type Certification	Multiple area categories: Where a proposed Training Centre location may fall under more than one area category (e.g., both Slum and Aspirational), is it permissible to cite multiple categories for a single centre, and does doing so attract higher evaluation weightage?	No, It is not permissible to cite multiple categories for a single centre.

Sr.No.	Clause	Query	Clarification / Amendment
19	9.2.3	<p>Bidder securing 40 out of 80 marks in Part A: shall be qualified for the technical presentation (Part B).</p> <p>Clarification: The clause seems to be in conflict with the bullet point 1 mentioned in Clause 9.4 that mentions that Bidders securing a minimum of 70% marks (56 marks) in the Technical Evaluation (Part A) shall be shortlisted for the presentation (Part B).</p>	<p>Read 9.2.3 as Bidder securing 50% out of 80 marks in Part A shall be qualified for the technical presentation (Part B).</p> <p>Read point no. 1 mentioned in clause 9.4 as, Bidders securing a minimum of 50% marks in the Technical Evaluation (Part A) shall be shortlisted for the presentation (Part B).</p>
20	<p>Technical Evaluation Parameters Part A 9.3</p> <p>Point no.3</p>	<p>Past Placement Performance</p> <p>a. Out of certified candidates, placed above 75%- 10 Marks</p> <p>b. 50-75%- 5 Marks</p> <p>Clarification Since Self Employment is also one of the trades mentioned in the Annexure-9 of the RFP, and self employed persons are not placed for jobs, request to also include self employment for the evaluation under this criteria.</p> <p>Suggested revised criteria: Past Placement/Self Employment Performance</p> <p>a. Out of the total target, self employment or placement of above 75%- 10 Marks</p> <p>b. 50-75%- 5 Marks</p>	<p>As per Technical Evaluation Parameters – Annexure 20.</p>
21	<p>Technical Evaluation Parameters Part A 9.3</p> <p>Point 4</p>	<p>Point 4 - Coverage of selected areas in implementation plan</p> <p>a. 6 Areas</p> <p>b. Any 5 Areas</p> <p>c. Any 4 Areas</p> <p>Clarification: We assume multiple assignments can be given to meet the criteria of 6 areas and not one assignment having worked in 6 areas. Kindly confirm.</p>	<p>As per Technical Evaluation Parameters – Annexure 20.</p>
22	<p>Technical Evaluation</p>	<p>Point 6 Advanced training set up Own set up / infrastructure of advanced training courses, futuristic courses or tie up with renowned</p>	<p>As per Technical Evaluation Parameters – Annexure 20.</p>

Sr.No.	Clause	Query	Clarification / Amendment
	Parameters Part A, Point No. 6	<p>institute having advanced set up availability for 8-10 sectors – 10 Marks availability for 6-7 sectors – 7 Marks availability for 5 sector – 5 Marks</p> <p>Clarification: Request you to kindly provide maximum marks for 6 or more sectors Advanced training set up Own set up / infrastructure of advanced training courses, futuristic courses or tie up with renowned institute having advanced set up availability for 6 or more sectors –10 Marks availability for 5 sector – 8 Marks</p>	
23	Technical Evaluation Parameters Part A, Point No. 7	<p>Point 7 Special Project: Implemented any special project related to Skill Development, Employment, Entrepreneurship (Eg. Skill Gap Analysis, Job fair, Skill Competition) 3 or more projects – 10 Marks 2 Projects – 6 Marks 1 Project – 3 Marks</p> <p>Clarification: We assume that any project delivered across Skill development/Employment/ Entrepreneurship (Eg. Skill Gap Analysis, Job fair, technical support, Skill Competition, etc.) for any government, private or donor organization would be considered as eligible submission. Suggested Revised Clause: Experience of any project delivered across Skill development/Employment/ Entrepreneurship (Eg. Skill Gap Analysis, Job fair, technical support, Skill Competition, etc.) 3 or more projects – 10 Marks 2 Projects – 6 Marks 1 Project – 3 Marks</p>	<p>Special projects means any projects related to skill development, employment, entrepreneurship and innovation awarded by the Central Government, State Government, Semi-Government Organizations, Government Corporations, Local Self-Government Institutions, Public Sector Undertakings (PSUs), or any other Government Authority shall be considered.</p> <p>As per Technical Evaluation Parameters – Annexure 20.</p>
24	Technical Evaluation Parameters Part A	<p>Point 8 : Awards/ recognition - Recognition from State or Central Government for skilling or related initiatives 3 or 3+ - 10 Marks 2 or more awards – 7 Marks</p>	<p>Awards / recognition for any projects related to skill development, employment, entrepreneurship and innovation conferred by the Central Government, State</p>

Sr.No.	Clause	Query	Clarification / Amendment
		<p>1 Award – 5 Marks</p> <p>Clarification: Request authority to kindly allow any recognition that the project has received for skilling or related initiatives. Suggested revised clause:</p> <p>Recognition for skilling or related initiatives through any organization:</p> <p>3 or 3+ - 10 Marks</p> <p>2 or more awards – 7 Marks</p> <p>1 Award – 5 Marks</p>	<p>Government, Semi-Government Organizations, Government Corporations, Local Self-Government Institutions, Public Sector Undertakings (PSUs), or any other Government Authority shall be considered.</p> <p>As per Technical Evaluation Parameters - Annexure 20.</p>
25	13.2.2 Training & Training Curriculum:	<p>viii. Subletting or franchise mode of training in any form shall not be permissible.</p> <p>Clarification: We assume that for training subletting is not allowed but for mobilisation, infrastructure etc. shall be allowed</p>	As per RFP
26	14. Payment Terms	<p>Clarification: We assume that the payments will be done based on Common Cost norms and not on Non-IT GR based resource rates. Kindly confirm the same.</p>	Payments will be done based on Common Cost norms
27	8. Pre Qualification Criteria, Clause 8.1	<p>As per GR issued by SEEID dated 6 April 2026 - point no. 6.9, Organizations listed in the GR issued by the General Administration Department, Government of Maharashtra dated 13.03.2026 - Empaneled consulting agencies for non-IT programs/projects/works have been empanelled.</p> <p>Clarification: We request you to kindly allow <b>Consortium between 2 Non-IT GR empanelled firms or between one Non-IT GR empanelled firm and one firm that is not empanelled</b> but has worked in the skilling sector, provided the Non-IT GR empanelled firm shall be the Lead Bidder. This will help in leveraging expertise in infrastructure, placements etc. Training shall be responsibility of the Lead Bidder at all times.</p>	As per RFP
28	5. The schedule for RFP	<p>5. Last date of Bid Submission 25/05/2026</p> <p>Clarification: We request you to kindly extend the date of submission by 7 days at least for bidders to submit a comprehensive bid</p>	<p>Extension of 3 days for bid submission is provided.</p> <p>Revised last date of Bid Submission: 28/05/2026, 5 PM</p>

Sr.No.	Clause	Query	Clarification / Amendment
29	9.2.3	<p><b>PRESENTATION WEIGHTAGE</b></p> <p>As currently structured, the combined evaluation assigns 80% weightage to the technical document score (Part A) and 20% to the presentation (Part B), with a 70% threshold in Part A required to qualify for the presentation round. For organisations whose institutional strengths lie in programme governance, field coordination and policy implementation rather than historical training volumes, the 70% Part A threshold presents a significant barrier. We respectfully request MSSDS to consider whether the threshold for presentation eligibility could be moderated, a threshold closer to 40–50% in Part A would allow organisations with demonstrated governance and implementation capabilities to make their case more fully through the presentation round, where such strengths can be more holistically assessed.</p>	<p>Read 9.2.3 as Bidder securing 50% out of 80 marks in Part A shall be qualified for the technical presentation (Part B).</p> <p>Read point no. 1 mentioned in clause 9.4 as, Bidders securing a minimum of 50% marks in the Technical Evaluation (Part A) shall be shortlisted for the presentation (Part B).</p>
30	9.3 Technical Evaluation parameters (Part A)	<p><b>PLACEMENT PERFORMANCE</b></p> <p>The current evaluation framework places considerable weight on past placement records. We wish to submit that, as an organisation that is actively expanding its direct programme implementation scope. We request MSSDS to consider whether demonstrated institutional readiness and network strength may be weighed alongside historical placement data, particularly for organisations that are transitioning from a policy support role to direct implementation.</p>	As per RFP
31	9.3	<p><b>RELEVANCE OF EXPERIENCE</b></p> <p>We wish to draw MSSDS's attention to the fact that organizations experience, while not confined to direct skill training delivery, is substantively relevant to the objectives of MJKPK. Our work in governance research, capacity building and skilling policy speaks directly to the quality of programme oversight and institutional support we would bring to this initiative. We request that MSSDS consider issuing a clarification confirming that relevant advisory and capacity building experience may be cited under the applicable evaluation parameters.</p>	As per RFP
32		Are training centers required to pay fee again for registration and course affiliation if already paid?	As per the norms prescribed by MSBSVET. (TCs which are not yet affiliated with MSBSVET)

Sr.No.	Clause	Query	Clarification / Amendment
33		Is there any additional provision for TP to get income?	As per RFP
34		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Kindly share the detailed SOP/guidelines for scheme implementation.</li> <li>In case of operational issues, delays, or compliance concerns: Who will be the concerned authority/person? What is the escalation matrix?</li> </ul> Is there any provision for modification/change in implementation processes, targets, locations, or batches?	As per RFP
35		As per our understanding, GST may not be applicable / mandatory in our case. Kindly clarify whether GST registration is compulsory for empanelment or scheme implementation under MSSDS. If exempted, what supporting documents/declarations are required from our side?	GST laws as applicable
36	General instructions for Bidder – Point no. 6.5	MSSDS mentioned ₹20,000 as a non-refundable amount. Regarding the ₹1,00,000 deposit amount: Is withdrawal/refund possible? What is the process and timeline for refund? Under what conditions can the deposit be forfeited?	As per RFP
37		From the beneficiary/student enrollment perspective: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>What documents are mandatory for student enrollment?</li> <li>Is there any specific eligibility criteria related to underprivileged /background/ category students?</li> <li>Is there any mandatory percentage/ reservation criteria for SC/ST/OBC/EWS/minority candidates?</li> <li>Are Aadhaar, income certificate, domicile, caste certificate, bank account, etc., compulsory?</li> </ul>	Kindly refer to the Government resolution dated 06.04.2026 issued by SEEID and other relevant GRs / scheme guidelines of PMKUVA and related SOPs.
38		Since many organizations are new to this sector and implementation system: Will MSSDS provide orientation/training for Training Centers regarding portal operations, compliance, assessments, documentation, and reporting systems?	It is the duty of the PIA / TP to ensure compliances.
39		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>What is the exact role of the District Skill Development &amp;</li> </ul>	Kindly refer to the Government resolution

Sr.No.	Clause	Query	Clarification / Amendment
		<p>Employment Office in implementation?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• During Training Center operations or surprise visits: What authority do they hold?</li> </ul> <p>What support or compliance is expected from Training Centers?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kindly share district-level contact persons/details for coordination.</li> </ul>	<p>dated 06.04.2026 issued by SEEID and other relevant GRs / scheme guidelines of PMKUVA and related SOPs.</p>
40	14- Payment terms	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kindly explain the complete payment cycle/process.</li> <li>• MSSDS mentioned that 40% payment will be released after placement.</li> <li>• In relation to tailoring courses and self-employment-based trades: What documents will be required as proof of placement?</li> </ul> <p>In case candidates start their own tailoring/business/self-employment activities, what entrepreneurship-related documents will be accepted?</p> <p>Will self-employment be considered equivalent to placement under the scheme?</p> <p>What evidence/documents are required for entrepreneurship tracking and payment release?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In cases where: Training is completed properly, Compliance is fulfilled, But payment is delayed from the authority side, then: What action should the Training Center take? Who should be contacted / escalated to? Is there any grievance mechanism or official process to resolve such incidents? What is the expected payment timeline under normal conditions?</li> </ul>	<p>Kindly refer to the Government resolution dated 06.04.2026 issued by SEEID and other relevant GRs / scheme guidelines of PMKUVA and related SOPs.</p>
41	13.2.1	<p>13.2.1-ii. Mobilization should be accompanied by counselling wherein Empanelled PIAs / TPs are expected to provide trainee with all possible information on the nature of work in the sector/trade, availability of jobs, potential pay and entitlements, growth prospects and risks involved, with the aim of helping trainee and their families make</p>	<p>Read point no. 13.2.1 – ii as Mobilization should be accompanied by counselling wherein Empanelled PIAs / TPs are expected to provide trainee with all possible information on the nature of work in the sector/trade, availability of jobs, potential</p>

Sr.No.	Clause	Query	Clarification / Amendment
		<p>informed choices. Only candidates residing in the areas specified in the Government Resolution issued by SEEID dated 6<sup>th</sup> April 2026 shall be eligible for enrolment under the programme.</p>	<p>pay and entitlements, growth prospects and risks involved, with the aim of helping trainee and their families make informed choices. Only candidates residing in the areas specified in the Government Resolution issued by SEEID dated 6<sup>th</sup> April 2026 shall be eligible for enrolment under the programme.</p> <p>Also, The PIA / TP shall ensure that at least 50% of the total candidates enrolled at each Training Centre shall be female candidates.</p>

Sd/-

Chief Executive Officer

Maharashtra State Skills Development Society

**Amended Annexure 7 : Certificate regarding Training Centre-wise availability of Qualified Manpower / Resources**

**(Minimum two for each proposed training centre)**

(To be submitted on the Letter Head of the Bidder)

To,  
The Chief Executive Officer,  
Maharashtra State Skill Development Society,  
1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Elphinstone Technical High School,  
3, Mahapalika Marg,  
Mumbai-4001 001

**Subject:** Certificate regarding Training Centre-wise Availability of Qualified Manpower / Resources

We ... (Name of the bidder) hereby certify that the following manpower resources proposed for the respective Training Centres under this project are qualified and eligible as per the criteria prescribed in the RFP and relevant guidelines issued by the competent authority.

Further, we undertake that:

1. The manpower resources proposed herein shall be deployed at the respective Training Centres upon award of work.
2. The details furnished regarding qualification, experience, ToT certification, and other credentials of the proposed manpower are true and correct.
3. Supporting documents including educational qualification certificates, experience certificates, identity proof, and ToT certificates (wherever applicable) shall be produced as and when demanded by the authority.
4. In case any information furnished is found to be false, incorrect, misleading, or suppressed at any stage, the Authority shall have the right to reject/disqualify the bid and/or terminate the contract without prejudice to any other action as deemed fit.

List of training centre wise resources:

Sr. No.	Name of Training Centre	District	Name of Resource Person	Designation / Proposed Role	Educational Qualification	Relevant Experience (Years)	ToT Certified (Yes/No/NA)	Training Domain / Functional Area

Authorized Signatory

Seal:

Date:

Place:

**Annexure 19 : Format of Certificate regarding Placement Linkages / Industry tie up done by training centres**

(On the Letterhead of the Bidder)

To,  
The Chief Executive Officer,  
Maharashtra State Skill Development Society,  
1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Elphinstone Technical High School,  
3, Mahapalika Marg,  
Mumbai-4001 001

**Subject:** Certificate regarding operational control of Training Centres and Industry tie ups

This is to certify that the Training Centres proposed by our organization for implementation of the project are under the operational control of our organization, namely M/s \_\_\_\_\_(Name of the bidder).

It is further certified that:

1. The Industry Tie-up MoUs / Letters of Intent (LoIs) submitted along with the proposal pertain only to such Training Centres which are under our operational control.
2. The organization shall remain solely responsible and accountable for all training operations, infrastructure, manpower deployment, mobilization, training delivery, assessment coordination, placement activities and compliance requirements related to the proposed Training Centres.
3. The information furnished in this certificate is true and correct. In case any information is found to be false, incorrect or misleading at any stage, the RFP issuing authority shall have the right to reject the application / terminate the contract / take appropriate action as deemed fit.

Authorized Signatory

Seal:

Date:

Place:

**Annexure 20 (Revised point 9.3 – Part A)**

Sr. No.	Parameter	Criteria	Marks	Max. Marks
1	Inclusion of Skill Development Training / vocational education or training as mandate of respective bidder	Included in Memorandum of Association / Bye-laws / Partnership Deed	Yes – 10	10
2	Experience in conducting skill development training	Trained above 1000 candidates	12	12
		501–1000	8	
		100–500	5	
3	Past placement performance	Out of certified candidates, placed above 75% candidates	12	12
		50%–75%	7	
4	Coverage of selected areas in implementation plan	6 areas	12	12
		Any 5 areas	8	
		Any 4 areas	5	
5	Availability of qualified human resources (Minimum 2 per proposed training centre)	Minimum 20 qualified personnel (mobilization, counselling, training, MIS, etc.) on payroll	Yes – 10	10
6	Special Project	Implemented any special project related to Skill Development, Employment, Entrepreneurship (Eg. Skill Gap Analysis, Job fair, Skill Competition)	-	12
		3 or more projects	12	
		2 Projects	8	
		1 Project	4	
7	Awards/recognition	Recognition from State or Central Government for skilling or related initiatives	-	12
		3 or 3 + recognitions	12	
		2 or more awards /recognition	8	
		1 Award /recognition	4	
<b>Total</b>				<b>80</b>

## **Annexure 21: Self-Declaration Format for Proposed Training Centre Location**

(To be submitted on the Letter Head of the Bidder)

To,  
The Chief Executive Officer,  
Maharashtra State Skill Development Society,  
1st Floor, Elphinstone Technical High School,  
3, Mahapalika Marg, Mumbai-4001 001

Subject: Self-Declaration regarding Category of Proposed Training Centre Location

We ..... (Name of the bidder) hereby declare that the locations proposed for establishment of Training Centres under “Mahatma Jyotiba Phule Kaushalya Prashikshan Kendra” fall under the categories specified in the Government Resolution issued by the Skills, Employment, Entrepreneurship and Innovation Department (SEEID), Government of Maharashtra, dated 06 April 2026.

We further declare that the information furnished in Annexure-08 regarding the category of area such as Slum / Hilly / Tribal / Aspirational / Naxal / Hardship is true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief.

We hereby declare that:

1. The responsibility regarding correctness and authenticity of the submitted information and supporting documents shall solely rest with the bidder.
2. In the event any information, declaration, or supporting document submitted by the bidder is found to be false, incorrect, misleading, fabricated, or suppressed at any stage, the Authority shall have the right to reject/disqualify the bid and/or terminate the contract, without prejudice to any other action as deemed fit under applicable rules and conditions of the RFP.
3. The Authority reserves the right to verify the submitted information/documents from the concerned competent authority at any stage of the RFP process or during implementation of the project.

Authorized Signatory

Seal:

Date:

Place: